Orthopäde 2017 · 46:729–736 DOI 10.1007/s00132-017-3446-y Published online: 17 July 2017 © Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH 2017



Haiting Wu¹ · Qingjiang Pang²

¹ Department of Spine Surgery, Ningbo No. 2 Hospital, Ningbo, China ² Department of Orthopaedics Center, Ningbo No. 2 Hospital, Ningbo, China

The effect of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls in older adults

A systematic review and meta-analysis

Introduction

Older adults have a high risk of falls [1]. Each year, one in three communitydwelling individuals aged 65 and older experiences at least one fall, and this percentage increases with age [2]. Fallrelated fractures and injuries are a serious problem affecting quality of life and are a major cause of hospitalization and death in older persons [3]. Therefore, safe, feasible, effective, and costeffective primary prevention measures are needed to reduce falls in older men and women. Multifactorial approaches, such as medical and occupational therapy assessments or adjustments in medications, behavioral instructions, and exercise programs reduce falls. However, they are expensive, take considerable time to implement, and are strongly dependent on the compliance of subjects. Vitamin D deficiency is common in the elderly [4, 5]. Many studies have demonstrated an association between vitamin D deficiency and falls. Vitamin D supplementation has been shown to improve muscle strength, function, and balance [6]. There is a meta-analysis in healthy subjects supporting the beneficial effects of vitamin D supplementation on falls [7]. Nevertheless, there is also a review suggesting that the evidence that vitamin D supplementation improves physical performance in older people is insufficient [8], and several randomized, controlled trials demonstrated that vitamin D did not reduce the number of falls. Therefore, since the potential effect of vitamin D

on falls is inconsistent, it is necessary to establish whether vitamin D supplementation has a beneficial effect on falls in older adults.

In addition, maintaining calcium intake has long been recommended in older individuals to treat and prevent osteoporosis [9, 10]. Vitamin D combined with calcium supplementation affects calcium homeostasis and increases muscle strength. These benefits translate into a reduction in falls. Therefore, we hypothesized that the effect of vitamin D supplemented with calcium on the reduction in falls may be different compared to vitamin D supplementation alone. Above all, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of vitamin D, administered either alone or in combination with calcium, on falls in older adults.

Methods

Data sources and searches

We systematically searched literature databases including PubMed and the Cochrane Library through December 2016 by using the following search terms: (randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR random allocation) AND (vitamin D OR cholecalciferol OR hydroxycholecalciferol OR calcifediol OR ergocalciferol OR calcidiol OR 25-hydroxyvitamin D OR 1, 25dihydroxyvitamin D OR calcitriol OR alfacalcidol OR paricalcitol OR calcium) AND fall. Search terms were used in various combinations to maximize search

results. The reference lists from articles identified in the database searches were examined to identify potentially relevant investigations. Manual searches complemented the number of potential articles. After removing duplicated articles, each title and abstract for potential inclusion was screened by two reviewers independently. Studies that reported odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or cross-table data were included. If the article was potentially eligible for inclusion, the full text was examined by two independent reviewers. Any disagreement in study selection and data collection was discussed by the two reviewers. A total of 26 studies were found to fit the criteria.

Meta-analysis needs to meet the following criteria: Considerring any type of falls, including recurrent (falls ≥ 2 over the study period) and injurious falls. Falls were defined as 'unintentionally coming to rest on the ground, floor, or other lower level'. Older adults (mean age ≥ 60 years) dwelling both inside and outside of hospital. We included only double-blind RCTs that studied any type of vitamin D.

Abbrev	Abbreviations							
RCT	Randomized controlled trial							
OD	Odds ratio							
CI	Confidence interval							
MD	Mean deviation							
VD2	Vitamin D2							
VD3	Vitamin D3							

Case reports and series of course were of course excluded. We also excluded reviews focussing solely on specialist populations (Parkinson's disease, e.g. stroke, Alzheimer's disease, myasthenia gravis) in order to increase homogeneity.

Data extraction

Two review authors working independently and in parallel assessed the full texts of included studies. The following characteristics of each study were extracted: first author, year, sample size, mean age, treatment, vitamin D dose (IU/day), and study duration.

Definitions

Our primary outcome measure was the relative risk of having at least one fall among older individuals receiving vitamin D compared with those not receiving vitamin D. Falls were recorded by the nurses or the patients themselves in a fall diary.

Data analysis

The heterogeneity of results between studies was determined by I². For I², values of 25% to <50% were considered low heterogeneity, 50% to <75% moderate, and \geq 75% highly heterogeneous. A random-effect model was used when there was heterogeneity in the meta-analysis (I² > 50%), otherwise a fixed-effect model was applied for the meta-analysis. Together with I² values, 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for each I² value. Tests for overall effect (Z score) were regarded significant at P < 0.05.

We conducted subgroup analysis to determine whether study conclusions were affected by the type of vitamin Draising intervention (D2 vs. D3) or calcium co-administration status (vitamin D vs. vitamin D + calcium). To provide a comparison between outcomes reported by the studies, odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals were performed from each study and graphs created using Review Manager 5.3. OR values under 1.00 were associated with

Abstract · Zusammenfassung

Orthopäde 2017 · 46:729–736 DOI 10.1007/s00132-017-3446-y © Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH 2017

H. Wu · Q. Pang

The effect of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls in older adults . A systematic review and meta-analysis

Abstract

Objectives. A number of studies have hypothesized that vitamin D is a potential factor in the prevention of falls in the elderly; however, the effect of vitamin D is still inconsistent and not quantitative. We conducted this meta-analysis to assess the effect of vitamin D on falls among elderly individuals.

Methods. The PubMed and Cochrane Library databases were searched from the earliest possible year up to December 2016. Two authors working independently reviewed the trials, and odds ratios (ORs) were calculated using a fixed-effect or random-effect model by Review Manager 5.3. We included only double-blind randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) of vitamin D in elderly populations that examined fall results.

Results. A total of 26 articles were included in which 16,540 elderly individuals received

vitamin D supplementation, while 16,146 were assigned to control groups. The metaanalysis showed that combined vitamin D plus calcium supplementation has a significant effect on the reduction in the risk of falls (OR for the risk of suffering at least one fall, 0.87; 95% Cl, 0.80–0.94). However, no significant association between vitamin D2 or D3 and a reduction in the risk of falls was found (OR, 0.77; 95% Cl, 0.58–1.03 for vitamin D2, and OR, 1.08; 95% Cl, 0.98–1.20 for vitamin D3). **Conclusions.** Combined calcium plus vitamin D supplementation is statistically significantly associated with a reduction in fall risks across various populations.

Keywords

Vitamin D · Calcium · 25-Hydroxyvitamin D · 1, 25-Dihydroxyvitamin D · Fall risk · Elderly

Einfluss der Vitamin-D- und Kalziumsupplementierung auf Stürze bei älteren Erwachsenen. Eine systematische Übersicht und Metaanalyse

Zusammenfassung

Zielsetzung. In zahlreichen Studien wurde spekuliert, dass Vitamin D ein potenzieller Faktor in der Sturzprävention bei älteren Menschen ist. Der Effekt ist jedoch weiterhin widersprüchlich und nicht quantifiziert. In der vorliegenden Metaanalyse haben wir den Einfluss von Vitamin D auf Stürze bei älteren Menschen untersucht.

Methoden. Die Datenbanken PubMed und Cochrane Library wurden vom frühesten erfassten Jahr bis Dezember 2016 durchsucht. Zwei Autoren sichteten unabhängig die Studien. Unter Anwendung von Review Manager 5.3 wurden mit einem Fixed-effectsoder Random-effects-Modell Odds Ratios (OR) berechnet. Einschluss in die Analyse fanden nur doppelblinde, randomisierte, kontrollierte Studien (RCT), in denen Vitamin D in Populationen älterer Menschen eingesetzt und Sturzergebnisse ausgewertet wurden. **Ergebnisse.** Insgesamt wurden 26 Beiträge eingeschlossen, in denen 16.540 ältere Menschen eine Vitamin-D-Supplementierung erhielten und 16.146 Personen Kontrollgruppen zugeteilt waren. Wie die Metaanalyse ergab, hat die kombinierte Vitamin-D- und Kalziumsupplementierung einen signifikanten Effekt auf die Reduktion des Sturzrisikos (OR für das Risiko, mindestens einen Sturz zu erleiden 0,87; 95%-Konfidenzintervall [KI] 0,80–0,94). Dagegen fand sich keine signifikante Assoziation zwischen Vitamin D₂ oder D₃ und einer Reduktion des Sturzrisikos (für Vitamin D2: OR 0,77; 95%-KI 0,58-1,03; für Vitamin D₃: OR 1,08; 95%-KI 0,98–1,20). Schlussfolgerungen. Die kombinierte Vitamin-D- und Kalziumsupplementierung ist über verschiedene Populationen hinweg statistisch signifikant mit einer Reduktion des Sturzrisikos assoziiert.

Schlüsselwörter

Vitamin D \cdot Kalzium \cdot 25-Hydroxyvitamin D \cdot 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D \cdot Sturzrisiko \cdot Ältere Menschen



Fig. 1 A Flow diagram of the stepwise selection of relevant studies

a decreased risk for falls as a result of the vitamin D-raising intervention.

Results

Characteristics of included studies

Our literature search identified 488 records, 33 of which were reviewed as full-text articles for inclusion. After further exclusions based on our selection criteria, 26 provided sufficient information for data extraction and were deemed suitable for our final analysis (**•** Fig. 1). In total, these randomized controlled trials included 32,686 older adults; 16,540 received vitamin D intervention and

16,146 were assigned to control groups (**Table 1**). The mean age ± SD of participants in these studies varied from 67 ± 2 to 92 \pm 6 years. Study sizes ranged from 64 to 9440 participants, with the duration of follow-up ranging from 1 month to 60 months. The evaluated dose of vitamin D ranged from 200 IU/day VD2 to 1000 IU/day VD2; in 11 of the 26 studies the dosage was 800 IU/day, six studies used a total dosage ranging from 300,000 IU/36 months VD2 to 600,000 IU/6 months VD2. In the studies conducted by Bischoff-Ferrari et al. [7, 11, 12], Grant et al. [13], Burleigh et al. [14], Flicker et al. [15], Prince et al. [16], Pfeifer et al. [17], Kärkkäinen et al.

[18], Neelemaat et al. [19], Berggren et al. [20], Larsen et al. [21], Harwood et al. [22], and Chapuy et al. [23], vitamin D was supplemented in combination with calcium in older adults. Other studies were supplemented with vitamin D2 or D3 alone.

In the study conducted by Grant et al. [13], participants were randomly allocated to four equal groups and assigned two tablets with meals daily consisting of 800 IU vitamin D3, 1000 mg calcium (given as carbonate), vitamin D3 (800 IU) combined with calcium (1000 mg), or placebo. In group 1, participants received vitamin D and calcium. In group 2, participants received vitamin D alone. Since the participants were different in the two groups, we regarded the two groups as two independent studies. In the study conducted by Broe et al. [31], vitamin D was supplemented in different doses; again, we regarded the two groups as two independent studies.

All studies included were examined for heterogeneity; the heterogeneity of all studies was determined to be low: P <0.001, I² = 79% for vitamin D2, P = 0.130, I² = 42% for vitamin D3, and P = 0.030, I² = 46% for vitamin D plus calcium. Accordingly, a random-effect model and a fixed-effect model were applied for further meta-analysis.

Hier steht eine Anzeige.

🙆 Springer

Originalien

Table 1 Characteristic	cs of the studi	es included in the	e meta-analvsis				
First author	Year	Falls/total		Age	Study	Treatment	
		Experiment	Control		duration (months)	Experiment	Control
Graafmans et al. [24]	1996	62/177	65/177	83±6	7	400 IU/day VD3	Placebo
Pfeifer et al. [25]	2000	11/74	19/74	75 ± 0.5	12	1200 mg Ca+800 lU VD3/Day	1200 mg/Day Ca
Chapuy et al. [23]	2002	251/393	118/190	85 ± 7	24	1200 mg Ca+800 lU VD3/Day	Placebo
Bischoff et al. [26]	2003	14/62	18/60	85 ± 7	3	1200 mg Ca+800 IU VD3/Day	1200 mg/Day Ca
Latham et al. [8]	2003	64/108	60/114	79 ± 2	б	300,000 IU VD3	Placebo
Trivedi et al. [27]	2003	254/1027	261/1011	75 ± 5	60	100,000 IU/4 Months VD3	Placebo
Dhesi et al. [28]	2004	11/70	14/69	77 ± 6	6	600,000 IU VD2	Placebo
Harwood et al. [22]	2004	7/29	13/35	81 (67–92)	12	1000 mg Ca+800 lU VD3/Day	Control
Grant et al. [13]	2005	161/1306	196/1332	77 ± 6	45	1000 mg Ca+800 IU VD3/Day	Placebo
		219/1343	196/1332	77 ± 6	45	1000 mg/Day Ca	Placebo
		185/1311	196/1332	77 ± 6	45	800 IU/Day VD3	Placebo
Flicker et al. [15]	2005	170/313	185/312	83±8	24	600 mg Ca+1000 lU VD2/Day	600 mg/Day Ca
Larsen et al. [21]	2005	466/2491	403/2116	74 (65–103)	42	1000 mg Ca+400 IU VD3/Day	Control
Sato et al. [29]	2005	11/48	33/48	74 ± 4	24	1000 IU/Day VD2	Placebo
Bischoff et al. [12]	2006	107/219	124/226	71 ± 5	36	500 mg Ca+700 lU VD3/Day	Placebo
Bischoff et al. [11]	2006	6/33	8/31	86±6	3	600 mg Ca+400 IU VD3/Day	600 mg/Day Ca
Law et al. [30]	2006	770/1762	833/1955	85	10	1100 IU/day VD2	Control
Broe et al. [31]	2007	15/26	11/25	92±6	5	200 IU/day VD2	Placebo
		15/25	11/25	88±5	5	400 IU/day VD2	Placebo
		15/25	11/25	89±5	5	600 IU/day VD2	Placebo
		5/23	11/25	89±5	5	800 IU/day VD2	Placebo
Burleigh et al. [14]	2007	36/100	45/103	83 ± 7	1	1200 mg Ca+800 lU VD3/Day	1200 mg/Day Ca
Smith et al. [32]	2007	2544/4727	2577/4713	79±3	36	300,000 IU VD2	Placebo
Berggren et al. [20]	2008	44/102	55/97	82 ± 6	12	1000 mg Ca+800 lU VD/Day	Control
Prince et al. [16]	2008	80/151	95/151	77 ± 5	12	1000 mg Ca+1000 lU VD2/Day	1000 mg/Day Ca
Pfeifer et al. [17]	2009	49/122	75/120	77 ± 4	20	1000 mg Ca+800 IU VD3/Day	1000 mg/Day Ca
Kärkkäinen et al. [18]	2010	812/1566	833/1573	67 ± 2	36	1000 mg Ca+800 IU VD3/Day	Placebo
Sanders et al. [33]	2010	837/1131	769/1125	76 ± 4	36–60	500,000 IU/Year VD3	Placebo
Witham et al. [34]	2010	2/53	5/52	79 ± 6	5	100,000 IU/4 Weeks VD2	Placebo
Glendenning et al. [35]	2012	102/353	89/333	77 ± 4	9	150,000 IU/3 Months VD3	Placebo
Neelemaat et al. [19]	2012	10/100	24/104	75 ± 10	3	864 mg Ca+600 IU VD3/Day	Placebo

	Experimental Control					Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Experim	Total	Evente	Total	Mainht	M II Dondom 05% CL	M II Dendern 05% Cl
Study of Subgroup	Events	lotal	Events	otal	weight	M-H, Kandom, 95% CI	M-H, Kandom, 95% Cl
Broe KE 2007	5	23	11	25	4.5%	0.35 [0.10, 1.26]	
Dhesi JK 2004	11	70	14	69	8.5%	0.73 [0.31, 1.75]	
Law M 2006	770	1762	833	1955	37.2%	1.05 [0.92, 1.19]	†
Sato Y 2005	11	48	33	48	8.0%	0.14 [0.05, 0.34]	
Smith H 2007	2544	4727	2577	4713	39.1%	0.97 [0.89, 1.05]	•
Witham MD 2010	2	53	5	52	2.7%	0.37 [0.07, 1.99]	
Total (95% CI)		6683		6862	100.0%	0.77 [0.58, 1.03]	•
Total quanta	2242	0000	2472	0002	1001070	on r [olooj noo]	•
TOTALEVENTS	3343		3473				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 0.05; Chi ^a	²= 23.47	⁷ , df = 5 (P = 0.01	003); I² = 7	'9%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.76 (P = 0.08)				
			·				Decreased risk Increased risk

Fig. 2 A Correlation between vitamin D2 and falls in the meta-analysis

	Experim	ental	Cont	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Glendenning P 2012	102	353	89	333	9.3%	1.11 [0.80, 1.56]	
Graafmans WC 1996	62	177	65	177	6.1%	0.93 [0.60, 1.43]	
Grant AM 2005	185	1311	196	1332	24.0%	0.95 [0.77, 1.18]	
Latham NK 2003	64	108	60	114	3.4%	1.31 [0.77, 2.23]	
Sanders KM 2010	837	1131	769	1125	28.8%	1.32 [1.10, 1.58]	
Trivedi DP 2003	254	1027	261	1011	28.4%	0.94 [0.77, 1.15]	
Total (95% CI)		4107		4092	100.0%	1.08 [0.98, 1.20]	◆
Total events	1504		1440				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8.	.58, df = 5 i	(P = 0.1	3); l ^z = 42	2%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.48 (P =	= 0.14)					Decreased risk Increased risk

Fig. 3 A Correlation between vitamin D3 and falls in the meta-analysis

Main outcomes

The effects of vitamin D2 supplementation on falls in the studies included are shown in **Fig. 2**. We found no significant association between vitamin D2 and a reduction in the risk of falls (OR for the risk of suffering at least one fall, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.58–1.03; $I^2 = 79\%$; **Fig. 2**).

The effects of vitamin D3 supplementation on falls in the studies included are shown in **Fig. 3**. We found no significant association between vitamin D3 and a reduction in the risk of falls (OR for the risk of suffering at least one fall, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.98–1.20; $I^2 = 42\%$; **Fig. 3**).

The effects of vitamin D combined with calcium supplementation on falls for the included studies are shown in **\Box** Fig. 4. We found a significant association between vitamin D combined with calcium supplementation and a reduction in the risk of falls (OR for the risk of suffering at least one fall, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.80–0.94; I² = 46%; **\Box** Fig. 4). For the eight RCTs comparing vitamin D with controls, individual and pooled mean differences for the eight outcome measures are shown in **Fig. 5**. According to **Fig. 5**, vitamin D treatment significantly increased the pooled mean values for serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D compared with control treatment (MD, 10.04; 95% CI, 1.53–18.55; I2 = 100%).

Among the three RCTs, vitamin D treatment significantly increased the pooled mean values for serum 1, 25-hy-droxyvitamin D compared with control treatment (MD, 7.23; 95% CI, 0.21–14.25; I2 = 89%; **Fig. 6**).

Discussion

We conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the best available research evidence regarding the effect of vitamin D on falls. This meta-analysis included 26 articles with 16,540 elderly individuals treated with different vitamin D analogues for 2 months up to 3 years. In all of these trials, the method of fall ascertainment and fall definitions were specified. Participants included healthy individuals living in the community, as well as hospital inpatients. Evidence suggested that a reduction in the risk of falls depends on the type of vitamin D supplementation. We found a statistically significant reduction in the risk of falls in the sub-analyses of vitamin D combined with calcium supplementation on the risk of falls. The pooled results found a statistically significant 6% reduction in the risk of falling with vitamin D combined with calcium compared with the control group. However, there is no significant association between vitamin D2 or D3 supplementation and falls.

Although vitamin D is used to prevent falls, the current evidence for an effect of supplementary vitamin D alone on fall outcomes is limited and conflicting. There continues to be evidence suggesting that vitamin D alone has no effect on

Originalien

	Experim	ental	Cont	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
7.1.1 VD+ca vs placeb	0						
Berggren M 2008	44	102	55	97	2.4%	0.58 [0.33, 1.02]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bischoff HA 2006	107	219	124	226	4.6%	0.79 [0.54, 1.14]	
Chapuy MC 2002	251	393	118	190	4.3%	1.08 [0.75, 1.54]	
Grant AM 2005	161	1306	196	1332	12.6%	0.81 [0.65, 1.02]	
Harwood RH 2004	7	29	13	35	0.7%	0.54 [0.18, 1.61]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Kärkkäinen MK 2010	812	1566	833	1573	29.7%	0.96 [0.83, 1.10]	
Larsen ER 2005	466	2491	403	2116	26.3%	0.98 [0.84, 1.13]	_
Neelemaat F 2012	10	100	24	104	1.6%	0.37 [0.17, 0.82]	·
Subtotal (95% CI)		6206		5673	82.2%	0.91 [0.84, 0.99]	•
Total events	1858		1766				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	2.05, df =	7 (P = 0)	.10); I² = -	42%			
Test for overall effect: Z	C= 2.09 (P	= 0.04)					
7.1.2 VD+ca vs ca							
Bischoff HA 2003	14	62	18	60	1.1%	0.68 [0.30, 1.53]	
Bischoff HA 2006	6	33	8	31	0.5%	0.64 [0.19, 2.11]	
Burleigh E 2007	36	100	45	103	2.1%	0.72 [0.41, 1.27]	
Flicker L 2005	170	313	185	312	6.3%	0.82 [0.59, 1.12]	
Pfeifer M 2000	11	74	19	74	1.2%	0.51 [0.22, 1.15]	
Pfeifer M 2009	49	122	75	120	3.4%	0.40 [0.24, 0.68]	
Prince RL 2008	80	151	95	151	3.3%	0.66 [0.42, 1.05]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		855		851	17.8%	0.67 [0.55, 0.81]	
l otal events	366	-	445				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	0.73, df = 6	(P = 0.4)	$(5); I^2 = 0$	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 4.03 (P	< 0.000	1)				
Total (95% CI)		7061		6524	100.0%	0.87 [0.80, 0.94]	•
Total events	2224		2211				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	26.01 df=	14 (P = 1	0.03): IZ =	46%			
Test for overall effect: 7	(= 3.52 (P	= 0.000	4)				0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Cl	ni² = 8.2	7. df = 1.0	P = 0.0	$(04), 1^2 = 8$	7.9%	Decreased risk Increased risk
reaction addanted anne	Terrices. Of	n = 0.2	r. ui – i (1 - 0.0	047.1 - 0	11.5.10	

Fig. 4 A Correlation between vitamin D combined with calcium and falls in the meta-analysis

	Exp	eriment	al	c	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bischoff HA 2003	26.2	9.78	62	11.4	5.04	60	12.6%	14.80 [12.05, 17.55]	
Broe KE 2007	29.82	6.26	23	24.12	13.04	25	12.1%	5.70 [-0.02, 11.42]	
Dhesi JK 2004	17.5	1.48	70	12.6	1.78	69	12.8%	4.90 [4.36, 5.44]	
Glendenning P 2012	29.89	10.34	20	24.12	10.54	20	11.9%	5.77 [-0.70, 12.24]	
Pfeifer M 2000	26.49	10.82	74	17.2	8.39	74	12.6%	9.29 [6.17, 12.41]	
Pfeifer M 2009	19.23	6.41	122	15.22	5.21	120	12.7%	4.01 [2.54, 5.48]	-
Sato Y 2005	33.4	3.3	48	5.3	1.1	48	12.7%	28.10 [27.12, 29.08]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Witham MD 2010	7.81	5.57	53	0.52	5.37	52	12.7%	7.29 [5.20, 9.38]	-
Total (95% CI)			472			468	100.0%	10.04 [1.53, 18.55]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 147.68; Chi ² = 1720.65, df = 7 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 100% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.31 (P = 0.02)									-20 -10 0 10 20

Fig. 5 🔺 Forest plot comparing the effect of vitamin D on 25-hydroxyvitan	ווח (
---	-------

reducing the risk of falls. In the study conducted by Latham et al., the vitamin D intervention was given in a single oral dose. Patients received 300,000 IU vitamin D3. However, there was no effect of vitamin D3 supplementation on physical function in older people, even in those patients with low 25-OH-D levels [36]. The largest population-based, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial found that an annual i.m. injection of 300,000 IU vitamin D2 had no effect in preventing falls when compared with placebo [32]. What is more, Sanders et al. even found a contrasting result: the participants receiving annual high-dose oral cholecalciferol experienced 15% more falls than the placebo group [33]. In line with these studies, no significant association between vitamin D2 or D3 supplementation and falls was found in this meta-analysis. However, our results indicate that a vitamin D supplement is able to significantly in-



Fig. 6 A Forest plot comparing the effect of vitamin D on 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D

crease serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and serum 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels in the elderly. Previous studies also showed that serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels are associated with quadriceps strength and balance.

Older people are recommended to take at least 1000-1200 mg/day of calcium to treat and prevent osteoporosis [37]. There is increasing evidence to suggest that vitamin D combined with calcium produces a beneficial effect on falls. According to previous studies and meta-analyses, the combination of calcium with vitamin D is important for fall prevention [38]. An earlier study by Bischoff et al. reported a 49% reduction in falls during a 3-month intervention with 800 IU vitamin D and 1200 mg calcium [26]. A meta-analysis showed that vitamin D and calcium supplementation reduced the falls risk by 21% [39]. Calcium intake is significantly lower in developing countries due to the deficient use of dairy products. Vitamin D together with calcium is the recommended combination for achieving health benefits. Increasing calcium intake either by dietary sources or supplements has beneficial effects on bone density. Specifically, a single intervention with vitamin D plus calcium over a 3-month period reduced the risk of falling by 49% and significantly improved musculoskeletal function compared with calcium alone in older adults [26]. Similarly, vitamin D plus calcium compared with calcium alone improved quadriceps strength by 8% and decreased body sway by 9% within 2 months in elderly women [25]. It is well known that vitamin D promotes calcium absorption and helps to maintain adequate serum calcium concentrations to enable normal bone

mineralization. Thus, calcium and vitamin D work together synergistically on the bone, and the results of our metaanalysis support their combined use to reduce fall risk.

Safety

Although quantitative safety data of vitamin D supplementation in humans has not been reported definitively, a number of studies have found no adverse side effects in humans. In fact, the majority of these studies indicate that vitamin D is safe. The optimal dose of vitamin D is currently not conclusive: most studies advise taking 800 IU of vitamin D daily for the maximum benefit. The consumption of vitamin D in dosages as high as 1000 IU/day was not found to have any side effects in humans. Along with determining an optimal dose, the long-term safety of vitamin D supplementation in older adults needs to be assessed.

Limitations and strengths

A publication bias has likely affected the results presented in this review. The dietary sources of vitamin D represent a cointervention that could introduce noise to the signal produced by the intervention in unblended studies and may bias the results toward the null. The strengths of this review stem from the extensive literature search, the protocol-driven, reproducible nature of the review, and the use of bias protection measures such as reviewing articles and data extraction by blinded pairs of reviewers.

Conclusions

In summary, combined calcium plus vitamin D supplementation is statistically significantly associated with reduced fall risks across various populations.

Corresponding address

Prof. Q. Pang

Department of Orthopaedics Center, Ningbo No. 2 Hospital 315010 Ningbo, Zhejiang, China pqjnbey@163.com

Funding. Key program of Clinical Specialty Disciplines of Ningbo (2013-88), Hua-Mei foundation (2017HMKY17)

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Conflict of interest. H. Wu and Q. Pang declare that they have no competing interests.

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- 1. Rubenstein LZ (1997) Preventing falls in the nursing home. JAMA 278:595–596
- Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF (1988) Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. N Engl J Med 319:1701–1707
- Ray WA, Taylor JA, Brown AK, Gideon P, Hall K, Arbogast P, Meredith S (2005) Prevention of fallrelated injuries in long-term care: a randomized controlled trial of staff education. Arch Intern Med 165:2293–2298
- Smith G, Wimalawansa SJ, Laillou A, Sophonneary P, Un S, Hong R, Poirot E, Kuong K, Chamnan C, De Los Reyes FN, Wieringa FT (2016) High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in cambodian women: a common deficiency in a sunny country. Nutrients. doi:10.3390/nu8050290
- Chalmers J (1991) Vitamin D deficiency in elderly people. BMJ 303:314–315

Originalien

- Tang BM, Eslick GD, Nowson C, Smith C, Bensoussan A (2007) Use of calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D supplementation to prevent fractures and bone loss in people aged 50 years and older: a meta-analysis. Lancet 370:657–666
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Willett WC, Staehelin HB, Bazemore MG, Zee RY, Wong JB (2004) Effect of Vitamin D on falls: a meta-analysis. JAMA 291:1999–2006
- Latham NK, Anderson CS, Reid IR (2003) Effects of vitamin D supplementation on strength, physical performance, and falls in older persons: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc 51:1219–1226
- Consensus conference (1984): Osteoporosis.[J].JAMA: The journal of the American Medical Association 252(6):799–802
- 10. Ross AC (2011) The 2011 report on dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Public Health Nutr 14:938–939
- 11. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Conzelmann M, Stahelin HB, Dick W, Carpenter MG, Adkin AL, Theiler R, Pfeifer M, Allum JH (2006) Is fall prevention by vitamin D mediated by a change in postural or dynamic balance? Osteoporos Int 17:656–663
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Orav EJ, Dawson-Hughes B (2006) Effect of cholecalciferol plus calcium on falling in ambulatory older men and women: a 3year randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 166:424–430
- Grant AM, Avenell A, Campbell MK, McDonald AM, MacLennan GS, McPherson GC, Anderson FH, Cooper C, Francis RM, Donaldson C, Gillespie WJ, Robinson CM, Torgerson DJ, Group RT (2005) Oral vitamin D3 and calcium for secondary prevention of low-trauma fractures in elderly people (Randomised Evaluation of Calcium Or vitamin D, RECORD): a randomised placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 365:1621–1628
- Burleigh E, McColl J, Potter J (2007) Does vitamin D stop inpatients falling? A randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 36:507–513
- Flicker L, MacInnis RJ, Stein MS, Scherer SC, Mead KE, Nowson CA, Thomas J, Lowndes C, Hopper JL, Wark JD (2005) Should older people in residential care receive vitamin D to prevent falls? Results of a randomized trial. J Am Geriatr Soc 53:1881–1888
- Prince RL, Austin N, Devine A, Dick IM, Bruce D, Zhu K (2008) Effects of ergocalciferol added to calcium on the risk of falls in elderly high-risk women. Arch Intern Med 168:103–108
- Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Minne HW, Suppan K, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Dobnig H (2009) Effects of a long-term vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls and parameters of muscle function in community-dwelling older individuals. Osteoporos Int 20:315–322
- Karkkainen MK, Tuppurainen M, Salovaara K, Sandini L, Rikkonen T, Sirola J, Honkanen R, Arokoski J, Alhava E, Kroger H (2010) Does daily vitamin D 800 IU and calcium 1000 mg supplementation decrease the risk of falling in ambulatory women aged 65–71 years? A 3-year randomized population-based trial (OSTPRE-FPS). Maturitas 65:359–365
- Neelemaat F, Lips P, Bosmans JE, Thijs A, Seidell JC, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA (2012) Short-term oral nutritional intervention with protein and vitamin D decreases falls in malnourished older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 60:691–699
- Berggren M, Stenvall M, Olofsson B, Gustafson Y (2008) Evaluation of a fall-prevention program in older people after femoral neck fracture: a oneyear follow-up. Osteoporos Int 19:801–809

- 21. Larsen ER, Mosekilde L, Foldspang A (2005) Vitamin D and calcium supplementation prevents severe falls in elderly community-dwelling women: a pragmatic population-based 3-year intervention study. Aging Clin Exp Res 17:125–132
- 22. Harwood RH, Sahota O, Gaynor K, Masud T, Hosking DJ, Nottingham Neck of Femur S (2004) A randomised, controlled comparison of different calcium and vitamin D supplementation regimens in elderly women after hip fracture: The Nottingham Neck of Femur (NONOF) Study. Age Ageing 33:45–51
- 23. Chapuy MC, Pamphile R, Paris E, Kempf C, Schlichting M, Arnaud S, Garnero P, Meunier PJ (2002) Combined calcium and vitamin D3 supplementation in elderly women: confirmation of reversal of secondary hyperparathyroidism and hip fracture risk: the Decalyos II study. Osteoporos Int 13:257–264
- Graafmans WC, Ooms ME, Hofstee HM, Bezemer PD, Bouter LM, Lips P (1996) Falls in the elderly: a prospective study of risk factors and risk profiles. Am J Epidemiol 143(11):1129–1136. PMID: 8633602
- 25. Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Minne HW, Abrams C, Nachtigall D, Hansen C (2000) Effects of a shortterm vitamin D and calcium supplementation on body sway and secondary hyperparathyroidism in elderly women. J Bone Miner Res 15:1113–1118
- 26. Bischoff HA, Stahelin HB, Dick W, Akos R, Knecht M, Salis C, Nebiker M, Theiler R, Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Lew RA, Conzelmann M (2003) Effects of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Res 18:343–351
- 27. Trivedi DP, Doll R, Khaw KT (2003) Effect of four monthly oral vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol) supplementation on fractures and mortality in men and women living in the community: randomised double blind controlled trial. BMJ 326(7387):469. PMID: 12609940; PMCID: PMC150177
- Dhesi JK, Jackson SH, Bearne LM, Moniz C, Hurley MV, Swift CG, Allain TJ (2004) Vitamin D supplementation improves neuromuscular function in older people who fall. Age Ageing 33(6):589–595. PMID: 15501836
- Sato Y, Iwamoto J, Kanoko T, Satoh K (2005) Lowdose vitamin D prevents muscular atrophy and reduces falls and hip fractures in women after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Cerebrovasc Dis 20(3):187–192. PMID: 16088114
- 30. Law M, Withers H, Morris J, Anderson F (2006) Vitamin D supplementation and the prevention of fractures and falls: results of a randomised trial in elderly people in residential accommodation. Age Ageing 35(5):482–486. PMID: 16641143
- Broe KE, Chen TC, Weinberg J, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Holick MF, Kiel DP (2007) A higher dose of vitamin D reduces the risk of falls in nursing home residents: a randomized, multiple-dose study. J Am Geriatr Soc 55:234–239
- 32. Smith H, Anderson F, Raphael H, Maslin P, Crozier S, Cooper C (2007) Effect of annual intramuscular vitamin D on fracture risk in elderly men and women – a population-based, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Rheumatology (Oxford) 46:1852–1857
- Sanders KM, Stuart AL, Williamson EJ, Simpson JA, Kotowicz MA, Young D, Nicholson GC (2010) Annual high-dose oral vitamin D and falls and fractures in older women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 303:1815–1822
- Witham MD, Dove FJ, Dryburgh M, Sugden JA, Morris AD, Struthers AD (2010) The effect of

different doses of vitamin D(3) on markers of vascular health in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia 53(10):2112–2119. doi:10.1007/s00125-010-1838-1.PMID: 20596692

- 35. Glendenning P, Zhu K, Inderjeeth C, Howat P, Lewis JR, Prince RL (2012) Effects of three-monthly oral 150,000 IU cholecalciferol supplementation on falls, mobility, and muscle strength in older postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Res 27(1):170–176. doi:10.1002/ jbmr.524. PMID: 21956713
- 36. Latham NK, Anderson CS, Lee A, Bennett DA, Moseley A, Cameron ID, Fitness Collaborative G (2003) A randomized, controlled trial of quadriceps resistance exercise and vitamin D in frail older people: the Frailty Interventions Trial in Elderly Subjects (FITNESS). J Am Geriatr Soc 51:291–299
- Hansson T, Roos B (1987) The effect of fluoride and calcium on spinal bone mineral content: a controlled, prospective (3 years) study. Calcif Tissue Int 40:315–317
- 38. Weaver CM, Alexander DD, Boushey CJ, Dawson-Hughes B, Lappe JM, LeBoff MS, Liu S, Looker AC, Wallace TC, Wang DD (2016) Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and risk of fractures: an updated meta-analysis from the National Osteoporosis Foundation. Osteoporos Int 27:367–376
- Murad MH, Elamin KB, Abu Elnour NO, Elamin MB, Alkatib AA, Fatourechi MM, Almandoz JP, Mullan RJ, Lane MA, Liu H, Erwin PJ, Hensrud DD, Montori VM (2011) Clinical review: the effect of vitamin D on falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 96:2997–3006